

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/064,765	YU, CHENG-TA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lisa A Kilday	2829	

All Participants:

Status of Application: allow claims 1-20

(1) Lisa A Kilday.

(3) _____.

(2) Belinda Lee.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 March 2004

Time: 12:50 am

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1-20

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 3/22/04
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 1-9 are allowed. Examiner corresponded with Belinda Lee on 3/17/4 to cancel non-elected claims 10-20. However, in light of applicant's admission in their response dated 2/12/4, claims 10-20 cannot be canceled. Applicant stated that claims 10-20 are "not able to support separate patents" and "are not distinct." For these reasons, applicant did not want to cancel claims 10-20. In view of this admission, claims 10-20 are allowed for the same reasons as claims 1-9.